

ATTENTION PLEASE!

ROSANGELA BORGES
UNIVERSITY OF WEST LONDON

ABSTRACT

This intervention looks at ways of improving student engagement in the creative disciplines. To do so, a range of theories such as constructive alignment, active learning, problem solving and peer learning have been explored, together with more specific ones, such as 'Computational thinking' which explore how to teach specific software in a more engaging way.

A series of steps were introduced allowing students to actively engage with the subject. A variety of visuals and text were distributed during and after the classes; clear learning objectives were presented; live exercises were carried out and continuous assessment and feedback took place throughout the sessions. However, the IT skills gap amongst the students has shown to be a key issue in engagement, leading to the need to strategically plan teaching sessions to aim at different skill levels in order to close the gap and simultaneously to maintain engagement throughout the sessions.

REFERENCES

- Trigwell, K., Prosser, M. & Taylor, P. High Educ (1994) 27: 75. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01383761> (Accessed 15 November 2019)
- Trigwell, K., Prosser, M. & Waterhouse, F. Relations between teachers' approaches to teaching and students' approaches to learning. Higher Education 37, 57–70 (1999) Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003548313194> (Accessed 15 November 2019)
- Biggs, J.B. Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university, fourth edition, Berkshire: Open University Press/Society for Research into Higher Education.
- Shreeve, A. Wareing, S. Drew, L.(2009) 'Key aspect of teaching and learning in the visual arts' pp.345-362, Berthiaume, D. 'Teaching in the disciplines' pp.215-225, Stefani, L. 'Planning teaching and learning' pp.40-57, Fry, H. Ketteridge, S. Marshall, S. A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, New York, Routledge.
- UK Quality Code for Higher Education, The Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Degree-Awarding Bodies, October 2014, available at: <https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/qualifications-frameworks.pdf> (Accessed 28 November 2019)

I work as media production technical demonstrator across creative subjects for the London School of Fashion Media and Design and was requested by a lecturer in fashion to deliver a workshop on image postproduction techniques. The request came midterm, so students had progressed to a certain level but I had no knowledge of the students and their skill levels.

I was presented with a brief introduction to each student's project where it became apparent that each student was working on varied subject matter linked by a common unique selling point namely 'sustainability'. My initial discussions started with the aesthetic of the image followed by the application of specific tools to convey the key message. This exercise fully engaged the students for a short period of time, but soon after I noticed some students diverted into other work as this particular workflow may not have applied directly to their own or were not as advanced as others. This has resulted to a lack of engagement across the full class.

To address this issue, key topics in the literature were researched focussing on the subject of engagement Trigwell, Prosser and Taylor (1994) identified different approaches to teaching, such as 'teacher- focused strategies' and the 'student-focused strategies'. Student-focused strategies, require the teacher to promote critical thinking, and, by doing so, enhance levels of engagement. Trigwell, Prosser and Waterhouse (1999) investigated the relationship between teaching approaches to students' approaches to learning and found a direct relationship between the two. This outcome suggests that teacher-focused approaches end with students adopting surface learning whereas student-focused approaches result in student's deep learning and higher levels of engagement. Similarly, Biggs and Tang (2011), in discussing constructive alignment, state that the learner constructs their knowledge through activities which are directly linked to the assessment tasks. Something that Biggs (1996) states is supported by objectives that are specified in the activities in which students have to complete. The combination of these positions, together with an active learning approach, such as 'Buddying' or 'Group Working' (Shreeve, Wareing & Drew, 2009, p.345) and 'Computational thinking' (Wing, 2006), for software users which it is based on problem solving including mind mapping, seemed to be the basis for students to acquire their skills in a more engaging and exciting way.

The first step was to assess students' skills in order to pitch at the right level. This was done by sending a 'traffic light' test through the University online platform. This allowed me to evaluate students' skills and to tune a lesson plan in line with the FHEQ (The Frameworks for Higher Education Qualifications of UK Degree-Awarding Bodies, 2014) to the students' needs.

The second step was the introduction of a presentation with clear objectives at the start of the class, and the use of a mind mapping approach with relevant problems and solutions related to images (which consequently led to the application of the appropriate software's tools) to stimulate independent work, problem solving and critical thinking. Lastly, students were paired in class using the 'buddying' technique with the aim of learning from each other.

